

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/521,533	11/07/2005	Er'el Granot	040990/287233	8786
826 7590 03/18/2008 ALSTON & BRD LLP BANK OF AMERICA PLAZA 101 SOUTH TRYON STREET, SUITE 4000 CHARLOTTE. NC 2826-0400			EXAMINER	
			SLOMSKI, REBECCA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,	2877		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/18/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/521.533 GRANOT ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit REBECCA C. SLOMSKI 2877 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 November 2005. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 14 January 2005 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

10/521,533 Art Unit: 2877

DETAILED ACTION

The following office action is in view of the amended claims 1-17, as filed in PCT/IL2003/000582. The previously submitted office action erroneously did not consider this claim amendment due to the fact that the amendment was not noticeable in the IFW. The examiner apologizes for the error. The following office action should be considered the first action on the merits for this national stage application and the previous office action dated 12/13/07 should be disregarded.

Claim Objections

Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: step (g) appears to be an optional limitation ("if necessary") and therefore does not hold patentable weight. Appropriate correction is required.

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers
have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: Page 3

10/521,533 Art Unit: 2877

Claims 1, 15, 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for

failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as

the invention.

2. With respect to claim 1, the limitation "said light comprises spectral frequencies which

make up the Fourier transform of said short pulse to be emulated" in lines 4-6 is unclear.

Additionally, there is insufficient antecedent basis for the limitation "said short pulse to be

emulated" in the claim.

3. With respect to claims 15 and 16, the limitation "said object or objects embedded in the an

optically turbid medium" in line 2 of the claims lacks antecedent basis. Additionally, it is unclear

whether the "optically turbid medium" stated in these claims corresponds with the "medium" of

claim 1. Word designations should remain consistent throughout the claims.

4. With respect to claim 17, the limitations are incomplete for omitting essential structural

cooperative relationships of elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the necessary

structural connections. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted structural cooperative relationships are:

the tunable laser, an RF oscillator, modulating means, detecting means, an optically scattering

medium, electronic processing means, and optional optical elements means. The claim is simply a

list of components and give no structural limitations for the relationship between them.

5. With respect to claim 17, the limitation "optional optical elements means" being indefinite

in that it fails to point out what is included or excluded by the claim language. This claim is an

omnibus type claim.

Art Unit: 2877

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 10, 11, 12, 13, 15, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Arons and Dilworth "Analysis of Fourier synthesis holography for imaging through scattering materials".

- With respect to claim 1, Arons and Dilworth disclose a method of imaging scattering materials comprising:
 - Send light through a medium, wherein said light comprises spectral frequencies
 which make up the Fourier transform of said short pulse to be emulated (page 1984,
 left column, lines 10-13)
 - Detecting spectral components of said light exiting said medium (page 1842, left column, line 13-14)
 - Determining the relative amplitude and phase change of each of said spectral
 components with respect to that of said illuminating light source (page 1842, right
 column, lines 1-2, Equation 5, lines 16-26, wherein h(t) = amplitude and stretched
 output pulse (phase changed))

Application/Control Number: Page 5

10/521,533 Art Unit: 2877

> Obtaining from said relative amplitude and phase change the spectral response of said medium (page 1842, Equation 4)

 Computationally performing an inverse Fourier transform on said spectral response (page 1842, right column, lines 17-18, wherein it is inherent that in order to get from frequency domain H(f) to time domain h(t) an inverse Fourier transform)

- Obtaining the temporal response on said medium to said emulated short pulse from said inverse Fourier transform (page 1842, right column, Equation 5, I(l∆t) = temporal response)
- Characterized in that the relative amplitude and phase change of each of said
 spectral component with respect to that of said light source are determined from a
 single beam of light (page 1842, right column, lines 1-2, Equation 5, lines 16-26,
 wherein h(t) = amplitude and stretched output pulse (phase changed at a particular
 time delay and from one laser)

It should be noted that step (g), lines 18-22 of claim 1, is not given patentable weight by the examiner since the claim language indicates an optional rather than positive limitation. The phrase "if necessary" implies that the limitation may not be necessary in all circumstances and therefore the function is not a requirement of the claimed method.

 With respect to claim 2, Arons and Dilworth discloses all of the limitations as applied to claim 1 above. In addition, Arons and Dilworth discloses:

Page 6

Application/Control Number:

10/521,533 Art Unit: 2877

- The light comprises substantially all of the spectral frequencies which make up the Fourier transform of the short pulse to be emulated (Figure 6)
- With respect to claim 10, Arons and Dilworth discloses all of the limitations as applied to
 claim 1 above In addition. Arons and Dilworth discloses:
 - At least one object is embedded within the medium (page 1841, left column, lines 3-10)
- With respect to claims 11 and 12, Arons and Dilworth discloses all of the limitations as
 applied to claims 1 and 12 above. In addition, Arons and Dilworth discloses:
 - At least one object is detected/imaged (page 1841, left column, lines 3-23, Figure 5)
- 10. With respect to claim 13, Arons and Dilworth discloses all of the limitations as applied to claims 1 and 12 above. In addition, Arons and Dilworth discloses:
 - At least one object comprises a cancerous growth and the medium comprises a segment of the human body (page 1841, left column, lines 8-10)
- 11. With respect to claims 15 and 16, Arons and Dilworth discloses all of the limitations as applied to claim 1 above. In addition, Arons and Dilworth discloses:
 - The "first light" response/specific segment of the temporal response which is
 indicative of the position and shape of said object or objects embedded in an
 optically turbid medium and/or obstructed by other objects, which are at least
 partially transparent, is determined from the optical temporal response (Figure 5(b),
 page 1845, left column, lines 38-45)

Page 7

Application/Control Number:

10/521,533 Art Unit: 2877

Claims 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Naganuma U.S. Patent #6,456,380.

- 12. With respect to claim 1, Naganuma discloses a method for measuring waveform of optical signals comprising:
 - Send light through a medium, wherein said light comprises spectral frequencies
 which make up the Fourier transform of said short pulse to be emulated (Col.15, L
 21-26 and L 44-55)
 - Detecting spectral components of said light exiting said medium (Col.15, L 57-60)
 - Determining the relative amplitude and phase change of each of said spectral components with respect to that of said illuminating light source (Col.19, L 35-46 ,Equation 14, amplitude = gain coefficient go in Equation 15, phase change = Δk in Equation 15)
 - Obtaining from said relative amplitude and phase change the spectral response of said medium (Col.19, L 35-40, power spectrum S(v), Equation 14)
 - Computationally performing an inverse Fourier transform on said spectral response
 (Col.23, L 37-41)
 - Obtaining the temporal response on said medium to said emulated short pulse from said inverse Fourier transform (Col.23, L 37-41, Figure 9)

Application/Control Number: Page 8 10/521.533

Art Unit: 2877

• Characterized in that the relative amplitude and phase change of each of said spectral component with respect to that of said light source are determined from a single beam of light (Col.19, L38-54, mixed spectrum from the local oscillator light). It should be noted that step (g), lines 18-22 of claim 1, is not given patentable weight by the examiner since the claim language indicates an optional rather than positive limitation. The phrase "if necessary" implies that the limitation may not be necessary in all

13. With respect to claim 3, Naganuma discloses all of the limitations as applied to claim 1 above. In addition, Naganuma discloses:

circumstances and therefore the function is not a requirement of the claimed method.

- The light comprises substantially less than all of the spectral frequencies which
 make up the Fourier transform of the short pulse to be emulated (Col.15, L 44-45,
 comprises only the second harmonic)
- 14. With respect to claim 4, Naganuma discloses all of the limitations as applied to claim 1 above. In addition, Naganuma discloses:
 - The light has a carrier frequency which is scanned over time, and the relative amplitude and phase change are determined for each carrier frequency (Col.22, L 50-64, while varying the degenerate frequency, $S(\nu)$ is recalculated, including g_0 and Δk)
- 15. With respect to claim 5, Naganuma discloses all of the limitations as applied to claim 1 above. In addition, Naganuma discloses:

Page 9

Application/Control Number:

10/521,533 Art Unit: 2877

> The light consists of a broad spectral bandwidth and each of the spectral components of the output of said light exiting the medium are detected (Col.13, L 63-65, Col.17, L 29-38)

- 16. With respect to claim 6, Naganuma discloses all of the limitations as applied to claim 1 above. In addition, Naganuma discloses:
 - The light is detected either at a point, along a line, or over a two-dimensional area (Col.11, L 10-18, either point with photo-detector or line with linear detector array)
- 17. With respect to claim 7, Naganuma discloses all of the limitations as applied to claim 1 above. In addition, Naganuma discloses:
 - The light transmitted through the medium is detected (Figure 1, medium 113, detector 115)
- 18. With respect to claim 10, Naganuma discloses all of the limitations as applied to claim 1 above. In addition, Naganuma discloses:
 - At least one object is embedded within the medium (Col.5, L 20-24, Col.7, L 4-8, crystal of periodic structure in the medium)
- 19. With respect to claim 14, Naganuma discloses all of the limitations as applied to claim 1 above. In addition, Naganuma discloses:
 - The medium comprises discrete layers (Col.5, L 20-25, L 36-41)

Application/Control Number: Page 10

10/521,533 Art Unit: 2877

Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Zhang and Lilge "Frequency-domain near infrared spectroscopy instrumentation".

- With respect to claim 17, Zhang and Lilge disclose an apparatus for spectroscopy comprising:
 - A tunable laser (Figure 1, page 251, right column, paragraph 3)
 - · An RF oscillator (Figure 1, RF lock-in and Amp)
 - modulating means (Figure 1, Biad-T)
 - detecting means (Figure 1, APD detector)
 - · an optically scattering medium (Figure 1, Sample)
 - electronic processing means (Figure 1, Amp, page 252, left column, paragraph 2, computer)
 - · optional optical element means (Figure 1, fiber)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Naganuma U.S.

Patent #6.456,380 in view of Dixon et al. U.S. Patent #6.853.455.

Application/Control Number:

10/521,533 Art Unit: 2877 Page 11

21. With respect to claim 8, Naganuma discloses all of the limitations as applied to clam 1

above. However, Naganuma fails to disclose the light reflected back from the medium is detected.

Dixon et al. discloses a method for Fourier spectral analysis comprising:

• Light reflected back from the medium is detected (Figure 1c)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to detect

reflected light of Naganuma since reflection and transmission are art recognized equivalents and

solely depend on the design choice and type of sample being considered.

22. With respect to claim ${\bf 9}$, Naganuma discloses all of the limitations as applied to claim ${\bf 1}$

above. However, Naganuma fails to disclose the light which exits the medium at any angle with

respect to the illumination path is detected.

Dixon et al. discloses a method for Fourier spectral analysis comprising:

• Light reflected back from the medium is detected (Figure 1c)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to detect

reflected light of Naganuma in addition to transmitted light as in claim 9 since doing so would

provide for a more thorough inspection of the sample, and save time in not having to repeat

measurements at different angles.

Citation

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

Application/Control Number: Page 12 10/521,533

Art Unit: 2877

Rogers et al. U.S. Patent #5,734,470 discloses a device and method for time resolved
optical measurements

 Tsuchiya U.S. Publication 2001/0038454 discloses methods and apparatus for measuring internal information of scattering mediums

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to REBECCA C, SLOMSKI whose telephone number is (571)272-9787. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, 7:30 am - 5:00 pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory J. Toatley, Jr. can be reached on 571-272-2059. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Gregory J. Toatley, Jr./

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2877

Rebecca C. Slomski Patent Examiner